

Amendment No. 1 to SB1722

**Ketron
Signature of Sponsor**

FILED
Date _____
Time _____
Clerk _____
Comm. Amdt. _____

AMEND Senate Bill No. 1722*

House Bill No. 1789

by deleting all language after the enacting clause and substituting the following:

SECTION 1. Tennessee Code Annotated, Title 12, Chapter 3, Part 10, is amended by adding the following as a new section:

Section ____.

(a) Any municipality may use competitive sealed proposals to purchase goods and services rather than competitive sealed bids when the municipal governing body, acting under the restrictions and requirements of this section and a procurement code adopted by the governing body, determines that the use of competitive sealed bidding is either not practicable or not advantageous to the municipality. In actual emergencies caused by unforeseen circumstances such as natural or human-made disasters, delays by contractors, delays in transportation, or unanticipated volume of work, purchases through competitive sealed proposals may be made without specific authorizing action of the municipal governing body. A record of any emergency purchase shall be made by the person or body authorizing the emergency purchase, specifying the amount paid, the items and services purchased, from whom the purchase was made, and the nature of the emergency. A report of the emergency purchase through competitive sealed proposals containing all relevant information shall be made as soon as possible by the person or body authorizing the purchase to the municipal governing body.

(b) In the decision to use competitive sealed proposals, the governing body shall follow a procurement code, which must be adopted by the municipality by ordinance before purchases may be made under this section.

The code shall contain criteria for purchasing through competitive sealed proposals and procedures consistent with this section for doing so.

(c) The procurement code shall provide that competitive sealed proposals may be used only when qualifications, experience, or competence are more important than price in making the purchase and:

(1) When there is more than one solution to a purchasing issue and the competitive sealed proposals will assist in choosing the best solution; or

(2) When there is no readily identifiable solution to a purchasing issue and the competitive sealed proposals will assist in identifying one or more solutions.

(d) The Municipal Technical Advisory Service of the University of Tennessee's Institute for Public Service, in conjunction with the comptroller's office, shall develop a model procurement code that may be adopted by any municipality to guide the governing body and purchasing agent in making purchases through requests for competitive sealed proposals. The model procurement code shall contain provisions allowing an aggrieved proposer to protest the intended award to another proposer if the protest is filed within seven (7) days after the intended award is announced. The protest must be filed with and decided by the municipal governing body.

(e) Adequate public notice of the request for competitive sealed proposals shall be given in the same manner provided for competitive sealed bids.

(f) Competitive sealed proposals shall be opened in a manner that avoids disclosure of contents to competing proposers during the negotiation. The proposals shall be open for public inspection after the intent to award the contract to a particular proposer is announced.

(g) The request for competitive sealed proposals shall state the

relative importance of price and other evaluation factors.

(h) As provided in the request for competitive sealed proposals and in the procurement code, discussions may be conducted for clarification to assure full understanding of, and responsiveness to, the solicitation requirements with responsible proposers who submit proposals determined by the purchasing agent to be reasonably susceptible of being selected. These proposers shall be accorded fair and equal treatment with respect to any opportunity for discussion and revision of proposals, and revisions may be permitted after submission and before the intent to award to a particular proposer is announced to obtain the best and final offers. In conducting discussions, the purchasing agent and other municipal personnel may make no disclosure to any proposer of any information derived from proposals submitted by competing proposers.

(i) The award shall be made to the responsible proposer whose proposal the governing body determines is the most advantageous to the municipality, taking into consideration price and the evaluation factors set out in the request for competitive sealed proposals. No other factor may be used in the evaluation. The purchasing agent shall place in the contract file a statement containing the basis on which the award was made.

SECTION 2. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law, the public welfare requiring it.