

FISCAL NOTE

SB 2641 - HB 2447

March 2, 2000

SUMMARY OF BILL: Repeals the Comprehensive Growth Plan Law.

ESTIMATED FISCAL IMPACT:

Decrease State Expenditures - Exceeds \$100,000
Decrease State Revenues - Exceeds \$100,000

Increase Local Govt. Revenues - Exceeds \$100,000 / Permissive
Increase Local Govt. Expenditures - Exceeds \$100,000 / Permissive
Decrease Local Govt. Revenues - Exceeds \$100,000 / Permissive
Forgo Local Govt. Revenues - Exceeds \$100,000 / Permissive
Forgo Local Govt. Expenditures - Exceeds \$100,000 / Permissive

Assumes:

- decrease in state expenditures from the elimination of two positions in the Department of Revenue that were added to manage responsibilities related to the Growth Plan Law with salary and related expenses of \$54,800.
- decrease in state expenditures exceeding \$100,000 and a corresponding decrease in state revenues from the elimination of administrative law judge expenses and revenues from local governments to reimburse those expenses.
- forgone city revenues exceeding \$100,000 to the extent annexations or incorporations do not take place that would have in the absence of the bill and cities do not realize growth in situs based taxes or new property tax revenues.
- decrease in county revenues exceeding \$100,000 to the extent annexations take place and counties lose revenue they would have retained in the absence of the bill in accordance with the hold harmless provisions of the Growth Plan Law.
- increase in county and city revenues exceeding \$100,000 to the extent annexations do not take place that would have taken place in the absence of the bill and counties retain revenue growth they would have lost through annexation and cities collect base revenues they would not have in the absence of the bill.
- forgone city expenditures exceeding \$100,000 to the extent annexations do not take place that would have in the absence of the bill and cities are not required to provide services to the residents in what would have been newly annexed territory.
- increase in city and county expenditures exceeding \$100,000 for legal costs associated with counties challenging annexations in the absence of the bill.

CERTIFICATION:

This is to duly certify that the information contained herein is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

James A. Davenport

James A. Davenport, Executive Director